

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN		ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		NT/DISCOVERY	Y (CI)		
ΑI	RS ID#: 0310223 DAT	ΓΕ: <u>12/03/2013</u>	ARRIVE: _		DEPART:		
FA	FACILITY NAME: SOUTHSIDE READY-MIX PLANT						
FA	ACILITY LOCATION	: 9715 Florida Mining	g Blvd E				
		JACKSONVILLE	32257-3646				
CO	OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: HENRY "HANK" BELCHER PHONE: (813)384-3025 Email: Hank.Belcher@preferredmaterials.com Mobile: (352)279-0404 CONTACT NAME: ADRIENNE COPPOCK PHONE: (813)384-3089 Email: adrienne.coppock@oldcastlematerials.com Mobile: (816)215-2827 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/14/2007 / 12/14/2012 Facility may be operating without Entitlement! (effective date) (end date)						
Facility Section							
PA	PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
			~				
	RT II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING Name(s) of facility representative(s):				(check ☑ only one box for each question)		
	Brief Notes:						
2.	Is the Authorized Repression, who is?:	esentative still HENRY "H.	ANK" BELCHER? -			☐ Yes	□No
3.		ility provide an administrati till ADRIENNE COPPOCK				☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.		ting VE test(s) during today nce authority notified at lea				☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠No ⊠No

Emissions Unit Section Subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 12/11/2012	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test:	Yes	⊠ No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour		⊠ No ⊠ No
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	⊠ No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠ No
 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?		⊠ No ⊠ No
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo control that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not loade. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during insp - Yes Yes	
 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate. 	Yes and	⊠ No
duration? 3) What was the batching rate?tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minute. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which	ates h is separate	⊠ No
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collected while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut	? Yes	⊠ No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	Yes	⊠ No

Emissions Unit Section Subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION					
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	0				
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.					
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and					
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards					
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 					
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	ļ				
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes No))				
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to					
control emissions? Yes No)				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	ļ				
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes No					
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	J				
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes No)				
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes No)				
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:					
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes No)				
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes \ No	Э				
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?					

Facility Section (continued)

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		□ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		☐ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	-	 No No No No No No No
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal properties (275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + 1.3 MM gal properties (1.3 MM gal proper	ne/yr	0? □ No
			-
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	☐ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	_	☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	permit and Department rules?	Yes	☐ No

R	RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ only one					
1.	Is the facility: stationary []; relocatable []; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable [] concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following</i>	box for each of question 2.)	question)			
	Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Yes	☐ No			
	 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 	Yes	☐ No			
	to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)	☐ Yes	☐ No			
	to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? -		☐ No			
3.	If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permand the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage) If YES, what was the purpose?		□ No			
	b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	□ Vas				
	If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes Yes	∐ No □ No			
	HANGES Iministrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each				
 1. 2. 	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representat associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions uni operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	ts or Yes	□ No □ No			
	Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No			
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee subration 30 days prior to the change?	mitted Yes	□ No			
Br	renda Johnson 12/03/2013					
	Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection					
	Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Insp	pection				

COMMENTS: Drive by inspection was performed on 12/3/2013. The facility was not in operation at the time of inspection, the front gate was locked and could not gain access to the facility. The facility was contacted to let them know that the permit has expired. The last VE performed on this facility was on 2/16/2011. No violations were noted at the time of inspection.